arXiv:math-ph/0404042v2 1 Feb 2005

On Irreducibility of the Energy Representation of the Gauge Group and the White Noise Distribution Theory

Yoshihito Shimada

Graduate School of Mathematics
Kyushu University
1-10-6 Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812-8581
JAPAN

We consider the energy representation for the gauge group. The gauge group is the set of C^∞-mappings from a compact Riemannian manifold to a semi-simple compact Lie group. In this paper, we obtain irreducibility of the energy representation of the gauge group for any dimension of M. To prove irreducibility for the energy representation, we use the fact that each operator from a space of test functionals to a space of generalized functionals is realized as a series of integral kernel operators, called the Fock expansion.

KEY WORDS: gauge group, energy representation, irreducibility, white noise calculus

`e-mail:shimada@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`

1 Introduction

In this paper, we discuss irreducibility of the energy representation of the gauge group. The gauge group is the set of all C^{∞}-mappings with compact support from a Riemannian manifold M to a semi-simple compact Lie group G. Then the energy representation of the gauge group is studied in [2], [3], [5], [6], and [8]. The first definition of the energy representation appears in [15] and I. Gelfand et al. proved irreducibility in case of simple compact Lie group G and $\dim M \geq 2$ in [5]. (Unfortunately, the proof of irreducibility in [5] has a gap. However they succeeded in proving irreducibility for the case $\dim M \geq 4$ in [6].) R. Ismagilov [8] showed irreducibility of the energy representation for the case $\dim M \geq 5$ and $G = SU(2)$. S. Albeverio et al.,in [2], proved for the case $\dim M \geq 3$ and obtained the partial solution for the same question for the case $\dim M = 2$. In case of $\dim M = 1$, S. Albeverio et al., in [2] and [3], showed reducibility for the energy representation of a Sobolev-Lie group $H(\mathbf{R}, G)$ and of a subgroup of $H(S^1, G)$ consisting of all based loops. In section 4 of this paper, we shall obtain irreducibility of the energy representation of $C^{\infty}(M, G)$ for any dimension of M when M is compact.

We present a brief sketch of our idea for proof of irreducibility. In order to prove irreducibility for the case $\dim M \geq 2$, all authors of previous works used results of a Gaussian measure μ on the real vector space E^* of distributions. On the other hand, in order to analyze operators on $L^2(E^*, \mu)$, we can use the theory of the spaces (E) and $(E)^*$, and of operators from (E) to $(E)^*$, called the white noise calculus. Here (E) is a space of test functionals and $(E)^*$ is a space of generalized functionals. The white noise calculus is introduced by T. Hida in 1975, and led us to important consequences concerning to an analysis of the Boson system. (See [12].) In the white noise calculus, a continuous linear operators from (E) to $(E)^*$ is realized as a series of operators $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})$, $l, m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m}) = \int \kappa_{l,m}(s_1, \dots, s_l, t_1, \dots, t_m) \partial_{s_1}^* \dots \partial_{s_l}^* \partial_{t_1} \dots \partial_{t_m} ds_1 \dots ds_l dt_1 \dots dt_m$$

where ∂_s^* is a creation operator, ∂_t is an annihilation operator, and $\kappa_{l,m}$ is a kernel distribution. $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})$ is called an integral kernel operator with a kernel distribution $\kappa_{l,m}$ and this realization is called Fock expansion for a continuous linear operator from (E) to $(E)^*$.

The merit of using the Fock expansion is that we can determine the commutant by direct algebraic computation, that is, the problem of irreducibility becomes easy comparatively. It is not exaggeration to say like this since we have the following reason. We know that one possible way to determine the commutant is to apply Tomita-Takesaki modular theory of the von Neumann algebras. However, the existence of the cyclic and separating vector for von Neumann algebra generated by the energy representation is not obvious. In fact, in [2] and [3], S. Albeverio et al. succeeded in proving reducibility for the energy representation of a subgroup of $H(S^1, G)$ only in the cyclic component with respect to the vacuum vector. However, they failed to show that vacuum vector is cyclic.

We should remark the relation between our result and the result of previous works [2] and [3]. Albeverio et al. [2] considered the energy representation of the Sobolev-Lie group $H(S^1, G)$. They showed that the cyclic component of the vacuum of the energy representation of $H(S^1, G)$ is unitary equivalent to

$$(U^R(\phi)f)(\eta) := \left(\frac{d\mu(\eta\phi)}{d\mu(\eta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\eta\phi),$$

$$\phi \in H(S^1, G), \quad f \in L^2(\{\eta \in C(S^1, G) \,|\, \eta(0) = \eta(2\pi) = e\}; \mu),$$

and showed reducibility of U^R. Here $H(S^1, G)$ is the completion of all smooth loops ϕ satisfying $\phi(0) = \phi(2\pi) = e$. On the other hand, our result is related with the group $C^\infty(S^1, G)$, where $\phi \in C^\infty(S^1, G)$ needs not satisfy the condition $\phi(0) = \phi(2\pi) = e$. Therefore, our result on irreducibility is not in conflict with reducibility obtained in [2] and [3]. The difference of two above-mentioned results on irreducibility is also seen in the work of V. Jones and A. Wassermann. It is well-known fact that the level ℓ projective unitary representation $\pi^{\otimes \ell}$ of $C^\infty(S^1, G)$ is irreducible. However A. Wassermann proved that the von Neumann algebra

$$\pi^{\otimes \ell}(\{\phi \in C^\infty(S^1, G) \mid \phi(\theta) = e \text{ for all } \theta \in [\pi, 2\pi]\})''$$

is type III_1 factor (Theorem A of [16]).

Now we present the organization of this paper. In section 2, we briefly sketch the white noise calculus and prepare for our analysis of the energy representation. In section 3, we define the gauge group and its energy representation. In section 4, the main theorem 4.1 is mentioned and proved.

2 Survey of the white noise calculus

In this section, we introduce the white noise calculus. The details of this section are in [12].

Definition 2.1. Let H be a complex Hilbert space with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$. Let A be a self-adjoint operator defined on a dense domain $D(A)$. Let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ be eigenvalues of A and $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ be normalized eigenvectors for $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$, i.e., $Ae_j = \lambda_j e_j$ and $|e_j|_0 = 1$ for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$. Moreover, we also assume the following two conditions:

(i) $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a C.O.N.S. of H,

(ii) Multiplicity of $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ is finite and $1 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \to \infty$.

Then we have the following properties.

(1) For $p \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and x, $y \in D(A^p)$, let $\langle x, y \rangle_p := \langle A^p x, A^p y \rangle_0$. Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ is an inner product on $D(A^p)$. Moreover, $D(A^p)$ is complete with respect to the norm $| \cdot |_p$, that is, the pair $E_p := (D(A^p), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p)$ is a Hilbert space.

(2) For $p \geq 0$, let $j_{p,p+1} : E_{p+1} \to E_p$ be the inclusion map. Then every inclusion map is continuous and has a dense image. For $q \geq p \geq 0$, let

$$j_{p,q} := j_{p,p+1} \circ \ldots \circ j_{q-1,q} : E_q \to E_p.$$

Then $\{E_p, j_{p,q}\}$ is a reduced projective system.

(3) A *countable Hilbert space*

$$E := \varprojlim E_p = \bigcap_{p \geq 0} E_p$$

constructed from the pair (H, A) is a reflexive Fréchet space. We call E *CH-space* simply.

(4) From (3), we have $E^* = \varinjlim E_p^*$ as a topological vector space, i.e. the strong topology on E^* and the inductive topology on $\varinjlim E_p^*$ coincide.

(5) Let $p \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\langle x, y \rangle_{-p} := \langle A^{-p}x, A^{-p}y \rangle_0$. Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{-p}$ is an inner product on H.

(6) For $p \geq 0$, let E_{-p} be the completion of H with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_{-p}$. Then we can consider the inclusion map $i_{-(p+1),-p} : E_{-p} \to E_{-(p+1)}$, and for $q \geq p \geq 0$ let

$$i_{-q,-p} := i_{-q,-q+1} \circ \ldots \circ i_{-(p+1),-p} : E_{-p} \to E_{-q}.$$

Then $\{E_{-p}, i_{-q,-p}\}$ is an inductive system. Moreover, E_{-p} and E_p^* are anti-linear isomorphic and isometric. Thus, from (4), we have

$$E^* = \lim_{\to} E_{-p} = \bigcup_{p \geq 0} E_{-p}.$$

Furthermore, we require for the operator A that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $A^{-\alpha}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt class operator, namely

$$\delta^2 := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-2\alpha} < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

From this condition, E (resp. E^*) is a nuclear space. Thus we can define the π-tensor topology $E \otimes_\pi E$ (resp. $E^* \otimes_\pi E^*$) of E (resp. E^*). If there is no danger of confusion, we will use the notation $E \otimes E$ (resp. $E^* \otimes E^*$) simply.

We denote the canonical bilinear form on $E^* \times E$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We have the following natural relation between the canonical bilinear form on $E^* \times E$ and the inner product on H :

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \overline{f}, g \rangle_0$$

for all $f \in H$ and $g \in E$.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a Hilbert space, or a CH-space.

(1) Let $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in X$. We define the symmetrization $s_n(g_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes g_n)$ of $g_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes g_n \in X^{\otimes n}$ as follows.

$$s_n(g_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes g_n) := g_1 \widehat{\otimes} \ldots \widehat{\otimes} g_n := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} g_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes g_{\sigma(n)},$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is the set of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

(2) If $f \in X^{\otimes n}$ satisfies $s_n(f) = f$, then we call f symmetric. We denote the set of all symmetric elements of $X^{\otimes n}$ by $X^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ and we call $X^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ the n-th symmetric tensor of X. Then s_n is a projection from $X^{\otimes n}$ to $X^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$.

(3) For $F \in (X^{\otimes n})^*$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, let F^σ be an element of $(X^{\otimes n})^*$ satisfying

$$\langle F^\sigma, g_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes g_n \rangle := \left\langle F, g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes g_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \right\rangle, \quad g_i \in X.$$

Then we define the symmetrization $s_n(F)$ as follows.

$$s_n(F) := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} F^\sigma.$$

(4) If $F \in (X^{\otimes n})^*$ satisfies $s_n(F) = F$, we call F symmetric. We denote the set of all symmetric elements of $(X^{\otimes n})^*$ by $(X^{\otimes n})^*_{\mathrm{sym}}$.

From the above discussion, we obtain a Gelfand triple :

$$E \subset H \subset E^*.$$

Next, we define the Fock space and the second quantization of a linear operator.

Definition 2.3. Let H be a Hilbert space and A be a linear operator on H.

(1) Let

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(H) := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \,\middle|\, f_n \in H^{\widehat{\otimes} n}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n!\, |f_n|_0^2 < +\infty \right\},$$
$$\left\langle\!\!\left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \right\rangle\!\!\right\rangle_0 := \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}} n!\, \langle f_n, g_n \rangle_0 .$$

Then we call $\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(H)$ the *Boson Fock space*. The Boson Fock space $\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(H)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle_0$.

(2) Let "id" be the identity operator on H and $\mathrm{id}_m := \overbrace{\mathrm{id} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{id}}^{m}$. Let

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(A) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{\otimes n},$$
$$d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(A)^{(n)} := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{id}_{j-1} \otimes A \otimes \mathrm{id}_{n-j}, \quad \textit{and}$$
$$d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(A) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(A)^{(n)}.$$

Then we call $\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(A)$ the *second quantization* of A and $d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(A)$ the *differential second quantization* of A.

Definition 2.4. Let H be a complex Hilbert space and A be a self-adjoint operator on H satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) in definition 2.1 and (2.1). Then we can define a CH-space (E) constructed from $(\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(H), \Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(A))$ and we obtain a Gelfand triple :

$$(E) \subset \Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(H) \subset (E)^*.$$

Corollary 2.5. *Let* $\phi := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(H)$, $f_n \in H^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$. *Then* $\phi \in (E)$ *if and only if* $f_n \in E^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ *for all* $n \geq 0$. *Moreover, it follows that*

$$\|\phi\|_p := \|\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(A)^p \phi\|_0 < +\infty$$

for all $p \geq 0$.

We refer to a continuous linear operator on a locally convex space.

Definition 2.6. Let X, Y be locally convex spaces. $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is the set of all continuous linear operators from X to Y.

Lemma 2.7. *Let X (resp. Y) be a locally convex space with seminorms $\{|\cdot|_{X,q}\}_{q\in Q}$ (resp. $\{|\cdot|_{Y,p}\}_{p\in P}$). Then a linear operator $V : X \to Y$ is continuous, namely, $V \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ if and only if for any $p \in P$, there exist $q \in Q$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$|Vf|_{Y,p} \leq C|f|_{X,q}, \quad f \in X.$$

In order to discuss an integral kernel operator, we define a contraction of tensor products.

Definition 2.8. Let H be a complex Hilbert space and A be a self-adjoint operator on H satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) in definition 2.1 and (2.1). Let

$$e(\mathbf{i}) := e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_l}, \quad \mathbf{i} := (i_1, \ldots, i_l) \in \mathbf{N}^l.$$

(1) For $F \in \left(E^{\otimes(l+m)}\right)^*$, let

$$|F|^2_{l,m;p,q} := \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} |\langle F, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j})\rangle|^2 \, |e(\mathbf{i})|^2_p \, |e(\mathbf{j})|^2_q$$

where $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ run over the whole of $\mathbf{N}^l$ and $\mathbf{N}^m$ respectively.

(2) For $F \in \left(E^{\otimes(l+m)}\right)^*$ and $g \in E^{\otimes(l+n)}$, we define a contraction $F \otimes_l g \in (E^{m+n})^*$ of F and g as follows.

$$F \otimes_l g := \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \langle F, e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i})\rangle \, \langle g, e(\mathbf{k}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i})\rangle \right) e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{k})$$

where $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{k}$ run over the whole of $\mathbf{N}^l$, $\mathbf{N}^m$, and $\mathbf{N}^n$ respectively.

We check well-definedness of the contraction. For any $F \in \left(E^{\otimes(l+m)}\right)^*$ there exists $p \geq 0$ such that $|F|_{l,m;-p,-p} = |F|_{-p} < +\infty$. We note that $|e(\mathbf{i})|_{-p}|e(\mathbf{i})|_p = 1$ for all $p \geq 0$ and $|e(\mathbf{i})|_p \leq |e(\mathbf{i})|_{p+q}$ for $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $q \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|F \otimes_l g|^2_{-p} \\
&\quad = |F \otimes_l g|^2_{l,m;-p,-p} \\
&\quad = \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \langle F, e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i})\rangle \, \langle g, e(\mathbf{k}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i})\rangle \, |e(\mathbf{i})|_{-p}|e(\mathbf{i})|_p \right|^2 |e(\mathbf{j})|^2_{-p} \, |e(\mathbf{k})|^2_{-p} \\
&\quad \leq \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} |\langle F, e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i})\rangle|^2 \, |e(\mathbf{i})|^2_{-p} \right) \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}'} \left|\langle g, e(\mathbf{k}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i}')\rangle\right|^2 |e(\mathbf{i}')|^2_p \right) |e(\mathbf{j})|^2_{-p} \, |e(\mathbf{k})|^2_{-p} \\
&\quad \leq |F|_{-p}|g|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain $F \otimes_l g \in \left(E^{\otimes(l+m)}\right)^*$.

Now we define an integral kernel operator.

Definition 2.9 (Integral kernel operator). Let $\kappa \in (E^{\otimes(l+m)})^*$. For $\phi := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \in (E)$, $f_n \in E^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$, let

$$\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+m)!}{n!} s_{l+m}\left(\kappa \otimes_m f_{m+n}\right).$$

Then $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)^*)$. We call $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)$ an *integral kernel operator* with a kernel distribution κ.

As for integral kernel operators, see section 4.3 of [12]. Note that the following map

$$(E^{\otimes(l+m)})^* \ni \kappa \mapsto \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)^*)$$

is not injective. We define

$$s_{l,m}(\kappa) := \frac{1}{l!m!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l \times \mathfrak{S}_m} \kappa^{\sigma},$$

where κ^{σ} is defined in definition 2.2 (3). Put

$$(E^{\otimes(l+m)})^*_{\mathrm{sym}(l,m)} := \{\kappa \in (E^{\otimes(l+m)})^* \mid s_{l,m}(\kappa) = \kappa\}.$$

Lemma 2.10. *The map*

$$(E^{\otimes(l+m)})^*_{\mathrm{sym}(l,m)} \ni \kappa \mapsto \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)^*)$$

is injective. Moreover, for $\kappa \in (E^{\otimes(l+m)})^*_{\mathrm{sym}(l,m)}$ *and* $\kappa \in (E^{\otimes(l'+m')})^*_{\mathrm{sym}(l',m')}$, *if* $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) = \Xi_{l',m'}(\kappa')$, *then* $l = l'$, $m = m'$ *and* $s_{l,m}(\kappa) = s_{l,m}(\kappa')$.

Due to this lemma, the map

$$\bigoplus_{l,m=0}^{\infty} (E^{\otimes(l+m)})^*_{\mathrm{sym}(l,m)} \ni \{\kappa_{l,m}\}_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \mapsto \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m}) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)^*) \tag{2.2}$$

is injective.

Proposition 2.11 (Fock expansion). *The map (2.2) is surjective, i.e., for any* $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)^*)$, *there exists an unique* $\{\kappa_{l,m}\}_{l,m=0}^{\infty}$, $\kappa_{l,m} \in (E^{\otimes(l+m)})^*_{\mathrm{sym}(l,m)}$ *such that*

$$\Xi\phi = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})\phi, \quad \phi \in (E) \tag{2.3}$$

where the sum of the right hand side of (2.3) converges in $(E)^*$.

If $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$, *then*

$$\kappa_{l,m} \in E^{\widehat{\otimes} l} \otimes \left(E^{\otimes m}\right)^*_{\mathrm{sym}}, \quad l,m \geq 0$$

and the sum of the right hand side of (2.3) converges in (E).

Proof. See section 4.4 and 4.5 of [12]. ▮

Proposition 2.12. *For* $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m}) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)^*)$ *it follows that*

$$\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})^* = \Xi_{m,l}(t_{m,l}(\kappa_{l,m}))$$

where the map $t_{m,l}$ *is defined by*

$$\langle t_{m,l}(\kappa_{l,m}), \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle := \langle \kappa_{l,m}, \zeta \otimes \eta \rangle, \quad \eta \in E^{\otimes m}, \, \zeta \in E^{\otimes l}.$$

By the way, for $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)^*)$ and $\Xi_{l',m'}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$, we have $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\Xi_{l',m'}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)^*)$. From proposition 2.11, we can infer that $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\Xi_{l',m'}(\lambda)$ is expressed as a sum of integral kernel operators.

Definition 2.13. Let $\kappa \in \left(E^{\otimes(l+m)}\right)^*$, $\lambda \in E^{\otimes l'} \otimes \left(E^{\otimes m'}\right)^*$ and $m \wedge l' := \min\{m, l'\}$. For $0 \le k \le m \wedge l'$, we define $S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{l'-k}_{m'}(\kappa \circ_k \lambda) \in \left(E^{\otimes(l+l'+m+m'-2k)}\right)^*$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{l'-k}_{m'}(\kappa \circ_k \lambda) := \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} &\langle \kappa, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{h}) \rangle \\ &\times \left\langle \lambda, e(\mathbf{h}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i}') \otimes e(\mathbf{j}') \right\rangle e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i}') \otimes e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}'), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$, $\mathbf{i}'$, $\mathbf{j}'$, and $\mathbf{h}$ runs over the whole of $\mathbf{N}^l$, $\mathbf{N}^{m-k}$, $\mathbf{N}^{l'-k}$, $\mathbf{N}^{m'}$, and $\mathbf{N}^k$ respectively.

We check well-definedness of $S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{l'-k}_{m'}(\kappa \circ_k \lambda)$. Now there exists $p \ge 0$ such that $|\kappa|_{-p} = |\kappa|_{l,m;-p,-p} < +\infty$. Note that for any $p' \ge 0$ there exists $q' = q'(l',m';p') \ge 0$ such that $|\lambda|_{l',m';p',-(p'+q')} < \infty$. Let $p' := p$ and $q := q'(l',m';p)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{l'-k}_{m'}(\kappa \circ_k \lambda) \right|^2_{l+l'-k,m+m'-k;-p,-(p+q)} \\ &\quad \le \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} |\langle \kappa, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{h}) \rangle|^2 \, |e(\mathbf{h})|^2_{-p} \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{\mathbf{h}'} \left| \left\langle \kappa, e(\mathbf{h}') \otimes e(\mathbf{i}') \otimes e(\mathbf{j}') \right\rangle \right|^2 \left| e(\mathbf{h}') \right|^2_p \left| e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i}') \right|_{-p} \left| e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}') \right|_{-(p+q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\inf \mathrm{Spec}(A) > 1$, we have

$$|e(\mathbf{j})|_{-(p+q)} = |e(\mathbf{j})|_{-p} \, |e(\mathbf{j})|_{-q} \le |e(\mathbf{j})|_{-p}$$

and $|e(\mathbf{i}')|_{-p} \le |e(\mathbf{i}')|_p$. This implies that

$$\left| S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{l'-k}_{m'}(\kappa \circ_k \lambda) \right|_{l+l'-k,m+m'-k;-p,-(p+q)} \le |\kappa|_{-p} |\lambda|_{l',m';p,-(p+q)} < +\infty.$$

Proposition 2.14. *For* $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)^*)$ *and* $\Xi_{l',m'}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$, *it follows that*

$$\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\Xi_{l',m'}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{m \wedge l'} k! \begin{pmatrix} m \\ k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l' \\ k \end{pmatrix} \Xi_{l+l'-k,m+m'-k}(S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{l'-k}_{m'}(\kappa \circ_k \lambda)).$$

The formal part of the proof of this proposition is the same as proposition 7.3 of [10]. From definition 2.13, the analytic part of the proof of this proposition is obvious.

Finally, we define an useful tool for an analysis of a Fock space.

Definition 2.15. For $f \in H$,

$$\exp(f) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} f^{\otimes n} \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(H)$$

is called an *exponential vector* or a *coherent vector.*

The following lemmas are well-known facts.

Lemma 2.16. *$\{\exp(f) \,|\, f \in H\}$ generates $\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(H)$. Moreover, $\{\exp(f) \,|\, f \in E\}$ spans a dense subspace of (E).*

3 The gauge group and its representation

Let G be a Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G and $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let "Ad" be the adjoint representation of G. For the complexification of "Ad", we use the same notation.

Now let G be a semi-simple compact Lie group. Then the Killing form K on $\mathfrak{g}$ is negative-definite. Thus $(-K)$ is an inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ and hence we can define an inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$ via the polarization identity. The representation $(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{Ad})$ of G is a unitary representation with respect to the inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

For X, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, let

$$\mathrm{ad}(X)Y := [X, Y]$$

where $[X, Y]$ be the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ is a representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on the vector space $\mathfrak{g}$. The following lemma is a well-known fact about the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and its Killing form.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra, and K be the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Then*

$$K(X, \mathrm{ad}(Z)Y) = -K(\mathrm{ad}(Z)X, Y)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, for X, Y, $Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$, we have

$$(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{ad}(Z)Y)_{\mathfrak{g}} = (-\mathrm{ad}(\overline{Z})X, Y)_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

where

$$\overline{Z_1 + \sqrt{-1}Z_2} := Z_1 - \sqrt{-1}Z_2.$$

for Z_1, $Z_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$.

We shall use this lemma in the following section.

Next, we define the gauge group and its representation. Let M be a Riemannian manifold without boundary and $(\cdot,\cdot)_x$ be a inner product on T_x^*M determined by the Riemannian structure of M.

Let $C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}(M, G)$ be the set of all C^{∞}-mappings $\psi : M \to G$ with compact support. We call $C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}(M, G)$ the gauge group. Let $C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ be the set of all C^{∞}-mappings $\Psi : M \to \mathfrak{g}$ with compact support. This is the "Lie algebra" of $C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}(M, G)$.

Let $\Omega^1(M)$ be the space of real-valued 1-forms on M with compact support and $\Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g}) := \Omega^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$. We can define a natural inner product on $\Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ as follows. First, let

$$\langle \omega_x \otimes X, \omega'_x \otimes X' \rangle_x := (\omega_x, \omega'_x)_x (X, X')_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

for all ω_x, $\omega'_x \in T^*_xM$ and X, $X' \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$. For each $x \in M$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ is an inner product on $T^*_xM \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$. Then

$$\langle f, g \rangle_0 := \int_M \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle_x \, dv, \tag{3.1}$$

where dv is the volume measure on M, and where f, $g \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$. This is an inner product on $\Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$. We denote the completion of $\Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ by $H(M, \mathfrak{g})$.

Let

$$(V(\psi)f)(x) := [\mathrm{id}_{T^*_xM} \otimes \mathrm{Ad}(\psi(x))]f(x), \quad x \in M$$

for all $\psi \in C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(M, G)$ and $f \in H(M, \mathfrak{g})$. Then $V(\psi)$ is a unitary operator on the Hilbert space $H(M, \mathfrak{g})$. We call V the *adjoint representation* of gauge group $C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(M, G)$.

For $\psi \in C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(M, G)$, we define the right logarithmic derivative $\beta(\psi) \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ as follows.

$$(\beta(\psi))(x) := (d\psi)_x \psi(x)^{-1}.$$

$\beta(\psi)$ satisfies

$$\beta(\psi \cdot \varphi) = V(\psi)\beta(\varphi) + \beta(\psi), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\psi \cdot \varphi$ is defined by the pointwise multiplication. The relation (3.2) is called the *Maurer-Cartan cocycle.*

Definition 3.2. Let $U(\psi)$ be an unitary representation on the on the Boson Fock space $\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(H(M, \mathfrak{g}))$ determined by

$$U(\psi)\exp(f) := \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|\beta(\psi)|_0^2\right)\exp\left(-\langle \beta(\psi), V(\psi)f \rangle_0\right)\exp\left(V(\psi)f + \beta(\psi)\right)$$

for $f \in H(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and $\psi \in C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(M, G)$. We call U the *energy representation* of the gauge group $C^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(M, G)$.

Now we construct a CH-space E by using the Hilbert space $H(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and a self-adjoint operator on $H(M, \mathfrak{g})$. Let M be a compact Riemann manifold without boundary. Let $\varDelta$ be the Bochner Laplacian on $\Omega^1(M)$ determined by the Levi-Civita connection on M and $H(M)$ be the completion of $\Omega^1(M)$. Then $\varDelta + 2$ is an essentially self-adjoint operator on $\Omega^1(M)$. (This is shown by considering the complexification of $\varDelta + 2$.) Let A be a closed extension of $(\varDelta + 2) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then there exists a C.O.N.S. $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ of $H(M)$ consisting of eigenvectors of the essentially self-adjoint operator $\varDelta + 2$ on $\Omega^1(M)$. For any C.O.N.S. $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$,

$$\{e(i,j) := e_i \otimes u_j \,|\, i \in \mathbf{N}, \, 1 \leq j \leq \dim \mathfrak{g}\} \tag{3.3}$$

is a C.O.N.S. of $H(M, \mathfrak{g})$. This C.O.N.S. of $H(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and the essentially self-adjoint operator A satisfy the condition (i), and (ii) of definition 2.1 and (2.1). (As for the general theory of Laplacian on a vector bundle, see chapter 1 of [7].) For the sake of the calculation in section 4, we take a C.O.N.S. of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$ as follows.

Let Δ be a root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$ and Δ' be a set of all positive roots of Δ. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\{H_1, \ldots, H_{\dim \mathfrak{h}}\}$ be a C.O.N.S. of $\mathfrak{h}$. Let X_α, $\alpha \in \Delta$ be a normalized element of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$ such that $[H, X_\alpha] = \alpha(H)X_\alpha$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then we have a C.O.N.S.

$$\{H_1, \ldots, H_{\dim \mathfrak{h}}, X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha} \,|\, \alpha \in \Delta'\} \tag{3.4}$$

of a complex vector space $\mathfrak{g}^c$ with respect to the inner product on $\mathfrak{g}^c$.

Thus we obtain the CH-space E constructed from $(H(M,\mathfrak{g}),A)$.

Next, we construct a CH-space (E) by using the Hilbert space $\Gamma_b(H(M,\mathfrak{g}))$ and a self-adjoint operator on $\Gamma_b(H(M,\mathfrak{g}))$. We write a C.O.N.S. of $H(M,\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ $(n \geq 1)$ in terms of $H(M,\mathfrak{g})$. Put $\dim \mathfrak{g} = N_0$, $\dim \mathfrak{h} = N_1$, and

$$\Delta' = \{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{N_2}\}, \quad (N_2 := \#\Delta' = \frac{1}{2}(N_0 - N_1)).$$

Let

$$u_j := \begin{cases} H_j, & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq N_1, \\ X_{\alpha_{j-N_1}}, & \text{if } N_1+1 \leq j \leq N_1+N_2, \\ X_{-\alpha_{j-(N_1+N_2)}}, & \text{if } N_1+N_2+1 \leq j \leq N_1+2N_2 = N_0 \end{cases}$$

Fix $d \in \{1,2,\dots,n\}$. Let

$$\mathbf{i} := (\overbrace{i_1,\dots,i_1}^{N(1)\,times}, \overbrace{i_2,\dots,i_2}^{N(2)\,times}, \dots, \overbrace{i_d,\dots,i_d}^{N(d)\,times}) \in \mathbf{N}^n,$$
$$N(1)+N(2)+\dots+N(d) = n, \quad i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_d.$$

For this $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{N}^n$, we define $\mathbf{j} \in \{1,2,\dots,N_0 = \dim \mathfrak{g}\}$ as follows.

$$\mathbf{j} = (j(i_1,1),\dots,j(i_1,N(1)), j(i_2,1),\dots,j(i_2,N(2)), j(i_d,1),\dots,j(i_d,N(d)))$$

where $j(i,k) \in \{1,2,\dots,N_0\}$ satisfies the following conditions: for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$ if $k_1 < k_2$, then $j(i,k_1) \leq j(i,k_2)$.

Let $\Lambda(n)$ be the subset of $\mathbf{N}^n \times \{1,2,\dots,N_0\}^n$ which consists of all $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})$. For $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(n)$, let

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) :=& e(i_1, j(i_1,1)) \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} e(i_1, j(i_1,N(1))) \\ & \widehat{\otimes} e(i_2, j(i_2,1)) \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} e(i_2, j(i_2,N(2))) \\ & \dots \widehat{\otimes} e(i_d, j(i_d,1)) \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} e(i_d, j(i_d,N(d))),\end{aligned}$$

then $\{\widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \mid (\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(n)\}$ is a C.O.N.S. of $H(M,\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$, $n \geq 1$. This C.O.N.S. of of $\Gamma_b(H(M,\mathfrak{g}))$ and the essentially self-adjoint operator $\Gamma_b(A)$ satisfy the condition (i), and (ii) of definition 2.1 and (2.1).

Therefore we obtain a CH-space (E) constructed from $(\Gamma_b(H(M,\mathfrak{g})), \Gamma_b(A))$.

In this paper, we discuss irreducibility of the energy representation U of $C^\infty(M,G)$ with the help of the white noise calculus, however, it is difficult to deal with the energy representation U directly. Thus we treat not the representation of "Lie group" $C^\infty(M,G)$ but the representation of "Lie algebra" $C^\infty(M,\mathfrak{g})$.

We introduce a proposition for the differentiability of a operator $V(\psi)$ on the CH-space E.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\psi_t(x) := \exp(t\,\Psi(x))$ for $\Psi \in C^\infty(M,\mathfrak{g})$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $\{V(\psi_t)\}_{t\in\mathbf{R}}$ is a regular one-parameter subgroup of $GL(E)$, namely, for any $p \geq 0$ there exists $q \geq 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \sup_{f\in E; |f|_q \leq 1} \left| \frac{V(\psi_t)f - f}{t} - V(\Psi)f \right|_p = 0,$$

where

$$(V(\Psi)f)(x) := [\mathrm{id}_{T_x^* M} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\Psi(x))] f(x), \quad x \in M$$

for all $f \in E$.

Proof. Note that there exists $C(\Psi, p) > 0$ such that

$$\|V(\Psi)f\|_p \leq C(\Psi, p)\,\|f\|_p\,, \quad f \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$$

for each $\Psi \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and $p \in \mathbf{N}$, i.e. $V(\Psi) \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$. (See proposition 2.5 of [14].) Since

$$\begin{aligned}(V(\psi_t)f)(x) &= [\mathrm{id}_{T_x^*M} \otimes \mathrm{Ad}(\exp(t\,\Psi(x)))]f(x) \\ &= [\mathrm{id}_{T_x^*M} \otimes \exp(t\,\mathrm{ad}(\Psi(x)))]f(x) \\ &= \left[\mathrm{id}_{T_x^*M} \otimes \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}(t\,\mathrm{ad}(\Psi(x)))^k\right] f(x) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}(t\,V(\Psi))^k f\right)(x)\end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\frac{V(\psi_t)f - f}{t} - V(\Psi)f\right\|_p &\leq \frac{1}{t}\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}\left\|(t\,V(\Psi))^k f\right\|_p \leq \frac{1}{t}\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}(t\,C(\Psi,p))^k\,\|f\|_p \\ &\leq t\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}C(\Psi,p)^k\right)\|f\|_p = t\exp(C(\Psi,p))\,\|f\|_p\end{aligned}$$

for $0 < t < 1$. This implies that

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\sup_{\|f\|_p \leq 1}\left\|\frac{V(\psi_t)f - f}{t} - V(\Psi)f\right\|_p = 0.$$

∎

This proposition plays a crucial role of our proof of the differentiability of the energy representation $U(\psi_t)$, that is,

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\psi_t(x) := \exp(t\Psi(x))$ for $\Psi \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $\{U(\psi_t)\}_{t\in\mathbf{R}}$ is a regular one-parameter subgroup of $GL((E))$ with infinitesimal generator $d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi)) + D_{d\Psi}^* - D_{d\Psi}$.*

Proof. Let μ be the Gaussian measure on the real vector space E_{Re}^* of distributions. Then the Boson Fock space is identified with the space of complex valued L^2-functions on E_{Re}^* with respect to μ. For $\eta \in E_{\mathrm{Re}}^*$, let

$$(T_\eta\phi)(x) := \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|\eta|_0^2\right)\phi_\eta(x)\phi(x - 2\eta), \quad \phi \in L^2(E_{\mathrm{Re}}^*, \mathbf{C}; \mu).$$

Here

$$\phi_\eta(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\left\langle : x^{\otimes n} :, \eta^{\otimes n}\right\rangle, \quad x \in E_{\mathrm{Re}}^*.$$

Then $T_{\beta(\psi)}$ satisfies $U(\psi) = T_{\beta(\psi)}\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\psi))$ and $T_{\beta(\psi_t)} = T_{td\Psi}$ is a regular one-parameter subgroup of $GL((E))$ with infinitesimal generator $D_{d\Psi}^* - D_{d\Psi}$. (See section 5.7 of [12].) Moreover, from proposition 5.4.5 of [12] and proposition 3.3, $\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\psi_t))$ is a regular one-parameter subgroup of $GL((E))$ with infinitesimal generator $d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi))$. Thus $U(\psi_t)$ is a regular one-parameter subgroup of $GL((E))$ with infinitesimal generator $d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi)) + D_{d\Psi}^* - D_{d\Psi}$. ∎

Let $\pi(\Psi) := d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi)) + D_{d\Psi}^* - D_{d\Psi}$. Then $\pi(\Psi) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$ and $\pi(\Psi)$ has the following expression :

$$\pi(\Psi) = \Xi_{1,1}((\mathrm{id} \otimes V(\Psi))^* \tau) + \Xi_{1,0}(d\Psi) - \Xi_{0,1}(d\Psi),$$

where $\tau \in (E \otimes E)^*$ is defined by

$$\langle \tau, f \otimes g \rangle := \langle f, g \rangle, \quad f, g \in E.$$

(See proposition 4.5.3 of [12].) To avoid notational complexity, we put $\lambda_{1,1} = (\mathrm{id} \otimes V(\Psi))^* \tau$ and $\lambda_{1,0} = \lambda_{0,1} = d\Psi$ for $\Psi \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g})$, namely

$$\pi(\Psi) = \Xi_{1,1}(\lambda_{1,1}) + \Xi_{1,0}(\lambda_{1,0}) - \Xi_{0,1}(\lambda_{0,1}).$$

Let

$$\widetilde{\pi}(\Psi) := -\pi(\Psi)^*, \quad \Psi \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g}).$$

Then $\widetilde{\pi}(\Psi) \in \mathcal{L}((E)^*, (E)^*)$. Moreover, we can check that $\pi(\Psi)^*|(E) = -\pi(\Psi)$ by using proposition 2.12 and lemma 3.1. In fact, since we have $t_{1,1}(\lambda_{1,1}) = -\lambda_{1,1}$, it satisfies that $\Xi_{1,1}(\lambda_{1,1})^*|(E) = -\Xi_{1,1}(\lambda_{1,1})$.

4 Irreducibility of the energy representation

We now give the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. Then the energy representation $\{U(\psi) | \psi \in C^\infty(M, G)\}$ is irreducible.*

Let $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(H(M, \mathfrak{g})), \Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(H(M, \mathfrak{g})))$ satisfy

$$U(\exp(t\Psi))\Xi = \Xi U(\exp(t\Psi)) \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\Psi \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g})$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Note that the restriction $\Xi|(E)$ of Ξ to (E) is a continuous linear operator from (E) to $(E)^*$ and $\pi(\Psi)^*$ is a continuous linear operator on $(E)^*$. Then (4.1) implies

$$\widetilde{\pi}(\Psi)\Xi = \Xi\pi(\Psi) \tag{4.2}$$

for all $\Psi \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g})$ as a continuous linear operator from (E) to $(E)^*$. Our main problem is to find Ξ satisfying (4.2).

Lemma 4.2. *Let*

$$\Xi = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m}).$$

be the Fock expansion for a continuous linear operator Ξ from (E) to $(E)^*$. If Ξ satisfies (4.2), then $\kappa_{l,m}$, $l, m \geq 0$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &s_{l,0}\left(S_{0\,0}^{l\,0}(\kappa_{l,1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0})\right) \\ &\quad = s_{l,0}\left(l\, S_{0\,0}^{1\,l-1}(\lambda_{1,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l,0}) - (l+1) S_{0\,0}^{0\,l}(\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l+1,0})\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_{0,m}&\Big(- S^{0\;0}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{1,m})\Big) \\ &\quad = s_{0,m}\Big(m\, S^{0\;\;\;\;\,0}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{0,m} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,1}) + (m+1)\, S^{0\;0}_{m\;0}(\kappa_{0,m+1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0})\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_{l,m}&\left(m\, S^{l\;\;\;\;\,0}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{l,m} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,1}) + (m+1) S^{l\;0}_{m\;0}(\kappa_{l,m+1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0})\right) \\ &\quad = s_{l,m}\left(l\, S^{1\;l-1}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{1,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l,m}) - (l+1) S^{0\;l}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l+1,m})\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

for all $l, m \geq 1$ *and*

$$-\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{1,0} = \kappa_{0,1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0}. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})\right) \Xi_{1,0}(\lambda_{1,0}) \\ &= \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m\wedge 1} k! \binom{m}{k} \binom{1}{k} \Xi_{l+1-k,m-k} \left(S^{l\;\;\;\;\;1-k}_{m-k\;0}(\kappa_{l,m} \circ_k \lambda_{1,0}\right) \\ &= \Xi_{0,0}(\kappa_{0,1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,0} \left(S^{l-1\;1}_{0\;\;\;\;\;0}(\kappa_{l-1,0} \circ \lambda_{1,0} + S^{l\;0}_{0\;0}(\kappa_{l,1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0})\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Xi_{0,m} \left((m+1) S^{0\;0}_{m\;0}(\kappa_{0,m+1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0})\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l,m=1}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m} \left(S^{l-1\;1}_{m\;\;\;\;\;0}(\kappa_{l-1,m} \circ \lambda_{1,0}) + (m+1) S^{l\;0}_{m\;0}(\kappa_{l,m+1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0})\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})\right) \Xi_{0,1}(\lambda_{0,1}) \\ &= \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m+1} \left(S^{m\;0}_{l\;\;\;\;1}(\kappa_{l,m} \circ \lambda_{0,1})\right) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Xi_{0,m} \left(S^{0\;\;\;\;\;0}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{0,m-1} \circ \lambda_{0,1})\right) + \sum_{l,m=1}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m} \left(S^{l\;\;\;\;\;0}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{l,m-1} \circ \lambda_{0,1})\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty}\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})\right)\Xi_{1,1}(\lambda_{1,1})\\
&=\sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{m\wedge 1}k!\binom{m}{k}\binom{1}{k}\Xi_{l+1-k,m+1-k}\left(S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{1-k}_{1}(\kappa_{l,m}\circ_k\lambda_{1,1})\right)\\
&=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\Xi_{0,m}\left(mS^{0}_{m-1}{}^{0}_{1}(\kappa_{0,m}\circ_1\lambda_{1,1})\right)\\
&\quad+\sum_{l,m=1}^{\infty}\Xi_{l,m}\left(S^{l-1}_{m-1}{}^{1}_{1}(\kappa_{l-1,m-1}\circ\lambda_{1,1})+mS^{l}_{m-1}{}^{0}_{1}(\kappa_{l,m}\circ_1\lambda_{1,1})\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty}\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})\right)\pi(\Psi)\\
&=\Xi_{0,0}(\kappa_{0,1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0})\\
&\quad+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\Xi_{l,0}\left(S^{l-1}_{0}{}^{1}_{0}(\kappa_{l-1,0}\circ\lambda_{1,0})+S^{l}_{0}{}^{0}_{0}(\kappa_{l,1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0})\right)\\
&\quad+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\Xi_{0,m}\Big(m\,S^{0}_{m-1}{}^{0}_{1}(\kappa_{0,m}\circ_1\lambda_{1,1})\\
&\qquad+(m+1)\,S^{0}_{m}{}^{0}_{0}(\kappa_{0,m+1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0})-S^{0}_{m-1}{}^{0}_{1}(\kappa_{0,m-1}\circ\lambda_{0,1})\Big)\\
&\quad+\sum_{l,m=1}^{\infty}\Xi_{l,m}\Big(S^{l-1}_{m-1}{}^{1}_{1}(\kappa_{l-1,m-1}\circ\lambda_{1,1})+mS^{l}_{m-1}{}^{0}_{1}(\kappa_{l,m}\circ_1\lambda_{1,1})\\
&\qquad+S^{l-1}_{m}{}^{1}_{0}(\kappa_{l-1,m}\circ\lambda_{1,0})+(m+1)S^{l}_{m}{}^{0}_{0}(\kappa_{l,m+1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0})-S^{l}_{m-1}{}^{0}_{1}(\kappa_{l,m-1}\circ\lambda_{0,1})\Big)
\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

On the other hand, it follows that

$$\widetilde{\pi}(\Psi)\left(\sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty}\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})\right)=-\left\{\left(\sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty}\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})^{*}\right)\pi(\Psi)\right\}^{*}\tag{4.8}$$

as a continuous linear operator from (E) to $(E)^*$. When we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_{m+m'-k,l+l'-k}t_{m+m'-k,l+l'-k}(S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{l'-k}_{m'}(\kappa\circ_k\lambda))\\
&\qquad=s_{m+m'-k,l+l'-k}(S^{m'}_{l'-k}{}^{m-k}_{l}(t_{m',l'}(\lambda)\circ_k t_{m,l}(\kappa)))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\kappa\in\left(E^{\otimes(l+m)}\right)^{*}$ and $\lambda\in E^{\otimes l'}\otimes\left(E^{\otimes m'}\right)^{*}$, the relations (4.7), (4.8), and proposition 2.12

imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\pi}(\Psi)\left(\sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty}\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})\right)\\
&\quad= -\Xi_{0,0}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ_1\kappa_{1,0})\\
&\qquad+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\Xi_{l,0}\Big(l\,S^{1\;l-1}_{0\;0}(\lambda_{1,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l,0})+S^{1\;l-1}_{0\;0}(\lambda_{1,0}\circ\kappa_{l-1,0})-(l+1)S^{0\;l}_{0\;0}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l+1,0})\Big)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\Xi_{0,m}\Big(-S^{0\;0}_{1\;m-1}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ\kappa_{0,m-1})-S^{0\;0}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ_1\kappa_{1,m})\Big)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{l,m=1}^{\infty}\Xi_{l,m}\Big(S^{1\;l-1}_{1\;m-1}(\lambda_{1,1}\circ\kappa_{l-1,m-1})+l\,S^{1\;l-1}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{1,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l,m})\\
&\qquad\quad+S^{1\;l-1}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{1,0}\circ\kappa_{l-1,m})-S^{0\;l}_{1\;m-1}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ\kappa_{l,m-1})-(l+1)S^{0\;l}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l+1,m})\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&s_{l,0}\left(S^{l-1\;1}_{0\;\;\;0}(\kappa_{l-1,0}\circ\lambda_{1,0})+S^{l\;0}_{0\;0}(\kappa_{l,1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0})\right)\\
&\qquad= s_{l,0}\left(l\,S^{1\;l-1}_{0\;0}(\lambda_{1,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l,0})+S^{1\;l-1}_{0\;0}(\lambda_{1,0}\circ\kappa_{l-1,0})-(l+1)S^{0\;l}_{0\;0}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l+1,0})\right),\\
&s_{0,m}\Big(m\,S^{0\;\;\;\;\;0}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{0,m}\circ_1\lambda_{1,1})+(m+1)\,S^{0\;0}_{m\;0}(\kappa_{0,m+1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0})-S^{0\;\;\;\;\;0}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{0,m-1}\circ\lambda_{0,1})\Big)\\
&\qquad= s_{0,m}\Big(-S^{0\;0}_{1\;m-1}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ\kappa_{0,m-1})-S^{0\;0}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ_1\kappa_{1,m})\Big),\\
&s_{l,m}\Big(S^{l-1\;1}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{l-1,m-1}\circ\lambda_{1,1})+mS^{l\;\;\;\;\;0}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{l,m}\circ_1\lambda_{1,1})\\
&\qquad\quad+S^{l-1\;1}_{m\;\;\;\;\;0}(\kappa_{l-1,m}\circ\lambda_{1,0})+(m+1)S^{l\;0}_{m\;0}(\kappa_{l,m+1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0})-S^{l\;\;\;\;\;0}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{l,m-1}\circ\lambda_{0,1})\Big)\\
&\qquad= s_{l,m}\Big(S^{1\;l-1}_{1\;m-1}(\lambda_{1,1}\circ\kappa_{l-1,m-1})+l\,S^{1\;l-1}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{1,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l,m})\\
&\qquad\quad+S^{1\;l-1}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{1,0}\circ\kappa_{l-1,m})-S^{0\;l}_{1\;m-1}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ\kappa_{l,m-1})-(l+1)S^{0\;l}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l+1,m})\Big),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$-\lambda_{0,1}\circ_1\kappa_{1,0}=\kappa_{0,1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0}$$

for all $l,m\geq 1$. On the other hand, from $\lambda_{1,0}$, $\lambda_{0,1}\in E$ and the definition of $S^{\alpha\;\alpha'}_{\beta\;\beta'}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{l,0}\left(S^{1\;l-1}_{0\;0}(\kappa_{l-1,0}\circ\lambda_{1,0})\right)&=s_{l,0}\left(S^{l-1\;1}_{0\;\;\;\;\;0}(\lambda_{1,0}\circ\kappa_{l-1,0})\right),\\
s_{0,m}\Big(S^{0\;0}_{1\;m-1}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ\kappa_{0,m-1})\Big)&=s_{0,m}\Big(S^{0\;\;\;\;\;0}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{0,m-1}\circ\lambda_{0,1})\Big),\\
s_{l,m}\left(S^{1\;l-1}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{1,0}\circ\kappa_{l-1,m})\right)&=s_{l,m}\left(S^{l-1\;1}_{m\;\;\;\;\;0}(\kappa_{l-1,m}\circ\lambda_{1,0})\right),\\
s_{l,m}\left(S^{0\;l}_{1\;m-1}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ\kappa_{l,m-1})\right)&=s_{l,m}\left(S^{l\;\;\;\;\;0}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{l,m-1}\circ\lambda_{0,1})\right),\quad \textit{and}\\
s_{l,m}\left(S^{1\;l-1}_{1\;m-1}(\lambda_{1,1}\circ\kappa_{l-1,m-1})\right)&=s_{l,m}\left(S^{l-1\;1}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{l-1,m-1}\circ\lambda_{1,1})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $l,m\geq 1$. Hence we obtain (4.3) − (4.6). ∎

Corollary 4.3. *$\kappa_{l,m}$, $l, m \geq 1$ satisfy the following conditions:*

$$s_{l,0}\left(S^{1\,l-1}_{0\,0}(\lambda_{1,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l,0})\right) = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

$$s_{0,m}\Big(S^{0\quad 0}_{m-1\,1}(\kappa_{0,m} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,1})\Big) = 0, \quad \textit{and} \tag{4.10}$$

$$l\, s_{l,m}\left(S^{1\,l-1}_{0\,m}(\lambda_{1,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l,m})\right) = m\, s_{l,m}\left(S^{l\quad 0}_{m-1\,1}(\kappa_{l,m} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,1})\right). \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. $C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g})$ contains constant functions. Thus if $\Psi(x) = \text{Const.} \in \mathfrak{g}$ i.e., $\lambda_{1,0} = \lambda_{0,1} = 0$, then we obtain (4.9) $-$ (4.11) . ■

Now, for $l \geq 0$, $m \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&S^{l\quad 0}_{m-1\,1}(\kappa_{l,m} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,1}) \\
&\quad = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} \langle \kappa_{l,m},\, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{h}) \rangle \left\langle e(\mathbf{h}),\, V(\Psi) e(\mathbf{j}') \right\rangle e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}') \\
&\quad = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'} \left\langle \kappa_{l,m},\, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes V(\Psi) e(\mathbf{j}') \right\rangle e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}') \\
&\quad = (\mathrm{id}_{l+m-1} \otimes V(\Psi))^* \kappa_{l,m} = (\mathrm{id}_{l+m-1} \otimes V(\Psi)^*) \kappa_{l,m}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, it follows that

$$S^{1\,l-1}_{0\,m}(\lambda_{1,1} \circ \kappa_{l,m}) = -(V(\Psi) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{l+m-1})^* \kappa_{l,m} = -(V(\Psi)^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{l+m-1}) \kappa_{l,m}$$

for $l \geq 1$, $m \geq 0$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_n(A \otimes \mathrm{id}_{n-1}) s_n &= s_n(\mathrm{id} \otimes A \otimes \mathrm{id}_{n-2}) s_n = \ldots = s_n(\mathrm{id}_{n-1} \otimes A) s_n \\
&= \frac{1}{n} d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(A)^{(n)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

for $A \in \mathcal{L}(E^*, E^*)$ by direct computation. From (4.12), (4.3)$-$(4.5) are equivalent to

$$d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi)^*)^{(l)} \kappa_{l,0} = s_{l,0}\Big((l+1) S^{0\,l}_{0\,0}(\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l+1,0}) + S^{l\,0}_{0\,0}(\kappa_{l,1} \circ \lambda_{1,0})\Big), \tag{4.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi)^*)^{(m)} \kappa_{0,m} \\
&\qquad = s_{0,m}\Big((m+1) S^{0\,0}_{m\,0}(\kappa_{0,m+1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0}) + S^{0\,0}_{0\,m}(\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{1,m})\Big), \quad \textit{and}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi)^*)^{(l)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_m + \mathrm{id}_l \otimes d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi)^*)^{(m)}\Big) \kappa_{l,m} \\
&\qquad = s_{l,m}\left((l+1) S^{0\,l}_{0\,m}(\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l+1,m}) + (m+1) S^{l\,0}_{m\,0}(\kappa_{l,m+1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0})\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

respectively for all $\Psi \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g})$. In particular, (4.9)$-$(4.11) become

$$d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi)^*)^{(l)} \kappa_{l,0} = 0, \tag{4.16}$$

$$d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi)^*)^{(m)} \kappa_{0,m} = 0, \tag{4.17}$$

$$\Big\{(d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi)^*)^{(l)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_m + \mathrm{id}_l \otimes d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(\Psi)^*)^{(m)}\Big\} \kappa_{l,m} = 0. \tag{4.18}$$

Lemma 4.4. *For $l, m \geq 0$, $l + m \neq 0$, we have*

$$\kappa_{l,m} = \sum \left\langle \kappa_{l,m}, \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}') \right\rangle \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}'), \tag{4.19}$$

where the sum is over all $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)$ and $(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}') \in \Lambda(m)$ satisfying the condition:

$$\sum_{1 \leq p \leq N_2} \alpha_p(H)(n_{p,+}(\mathbf{j}) - n_{p,-}(\mathbf{j}) + n_{p,+}(\mathbf{j}') - n_{p,-}(\mathbf{j}')) = 0$$

for all $H \in \mathfrak{h}$. Here

$$n_{p,+}(\mathbf{j}) := \#\{q \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \mid j_q = N_1 + p\},$$
$$n_{p,-}(\mathbf{j}) := \#\{q \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \mid j_q = N_1 + N_2 + p\}.$$

for $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_n) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}^n$ and $1 \leq p \leq N_2$.

Proof. Since

$$d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(H)^{(n)})\widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = \sum_{1 \leq p \leq N_2} \alpha_p(H)(n_{p,+}(\mathbf{j}) - n_{p,-}(\mathbf{j}))\widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$$

for $H \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(H)^*)^{(l)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_m\right\}\kappa_{l,m} \\
&= \sum_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)} \sum_{(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}') \in \Lambda(m)} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq N_2} \left\langle \kappa_{l,m}, \left\{d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(H))^{(l)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_m\right\}\left\{\widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}')\right\} \right\rangle \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}') \\
&= \sum_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \sum_{(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}') \in \Lambda(m)} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq N_2} \alpha_p(H)(n_{p,+}(\mathbf{j}) - n_{p,-}(\mathbf{j})) \left\langle \kappa_{l,m}, \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}') \right\rangle \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}')
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ runs over the whole of $\Lambda(l)$ satisfying the conditions: there exists p such that $n_{p,+}(\mathbf{j}) \neq n_{p,-}(\mathbf{j})$. In the same manner,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mathrm{id}_l \otimes d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(H)^*)^{(m)}\right) \kappa_{l,m} \\
&= \sum_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)} \sum_{(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}')} \sum_{1 \leq p \leq N_2} \alpha_p(H)(n_{p,+}(\mathbf{j}') - n_{p,-}(\mathbf{j}')) \left\langle \kappa_{l,m}, \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}') \right\rangle \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}').
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

where $(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}')$ runs over the whole of $\Lambda(m)$ satisfying the conditions: there exists p such that $n_{p,+}(\mathbf{j}') \neq n_{p,-}(\mathbf{j}')$. Thus we obtain (4.19) from (4.18). ∎

When the operator $d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(u_{k+N_1})^*)$, $1 \leq k \leq N_2$ act $\kappa_{l,m}$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5.

$$V(X_\alpha)^*|E = -V(X_{-\alpha}),$$

that is, if $1 \leq k \leq N_2$, then

$$V(u_{k+N_1})^*|E = -V(u_{k+N_1+N_2}).$$

Proof. Let U_α and V_α, $\alpha \in \Delta'$ be elements defined by

$$X_\alpha = \frac{U_\alpha + \sqrt{-1}V_\alpha}{|U_\alpha + \sqrt{-1}V_\alpha|_{\mathfrak{g}}}, \quad X_{-\alpha} = \frac{U_\alpha - \sqrt{-1}V_\alpha}{|U_\alpha - \sqrt{-1}V_\alpha|_{\mathfrak{g}}}. \tag{4.22}$$

And let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}}$. If $\{\sqrt{-1}H_i' \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_1\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}$, then

$$\{\sqrt{-1}H_1', \ldots, \sqrt{-1}H_{N_1}', U_\alpha, V_\alpha \mid \sqrt{-1}H_i' \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}},\ \alpha \in \Delta'\}$$

is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}}$, called Cartan-Weyl basis. (More details of this basis are in [14].)

Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is compact, there exists an automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{c}}$ such that $\sigma(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}}) = \mathfrak{g}$. Hence we can regard the Cartan-Weyl basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}}$ as a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$.

From lemma 3.1, for f, $g \in E$, we have

$$\langle V(X_\alpha)^* f, g\rangle = \left\langle \overline{f}, V(X_\alpha)g\right\rangle_0 = \left\langle -V(\overline{X_\alpha})\overline{f}, g\right\rangle_0 = \left\langle -\overline{V(\overline{X_\alpha})\overline{f}}, g\right\rangle.$$

If we note that the identification of E_{-p} and E_p^* under the anti-linear isomorphism (see definition 2.1), then this implies that $V(X_\alpha)^* f = -V(\overline{X_\alpha})f$. Since $\overline{X_\alpha} = X_{-\alpha}$ from (4.22), we obtain this lemma. ∎

Lemma 4.6. $\kappa_{1,0} = 0$ *and* $\kappa_{0,1} = 0$.

Proof. (4.19) implies that

$$\kappa_{1,0} = \sum_{(i,j)\in\Lambda(1); 1\le j\le N_1} \langle \kappa_{1,0}, e(i,j)\rangle\, e(i,j).$$

For $1 \le k \le N_2$, if the operator $d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(u_{k+N_1})^*)$ act $\kappa_{1,0}$, then we obtain

$$0 = d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(u_{k+N_1})^*)\kappa_{1,0} = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{1\le j\le N_1} \langle \kappa_{1,0}, e(i,j)\rangle\, \alpha_k(u_j) e(i, k+N_1+N_2)$$

with the help of

$$\begin{aligned} V(u_{k+N_1})^* e(i,j) &= -V(u_{k+N_1+N_2})e(i,j) = -e_i \otimes \mathrm{ad}(u_{k+N_1+N_2})u_j \\ &= -\alpha_k(u_j)e(i, k+N_1+N_2). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\sum_{1\le j\le N_1} \langle \kappa_{1,0}, e(i,j)\rangle\, \alpha_k(u_j) = 0$$

for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$, $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N_2\}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is generated by linear combinations of $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{N_2}$, we can choose basis $\{\alpha_{k_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k_{N_1}}\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the matrix $(\alpha_{k_i}(u_j))_{1\le i,j\le N_1} \in \mathrm{Mat}(N_1, \mathbf{C})$ is invertible. Therefore

$$\langle \kappa_{1,0}, e(i,j)\rangle = 0$$

for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N_1\}$, i.e., $\kappa_{1,0} = 0$. In the same manner, $\kappa_{0,1} = 0$. ∎

Lemma 4.7. $\kappa_{l,1} = 0$ *and* $\kappa_{1,m} = 0$.

Proof. In (4.18), let $\Psi = u_{k+N_1}$ for $1 \le k \le N_2$ and $m = 1$. When we consider (4.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(u_{k+N_1})^*)^{(l)} \otimes \mathrm{id})\kappa_{l,1} \\ &= \sum_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \sum_{1 \le j \le N_1} \langle \kappa_{l,1}, \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(i,j) \rangle \, [d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(u_{k+N_1})^*)^{(l)} \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})] \otimes e(i,j),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathrm{id}_l \otimes d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(u_{k+N_1})^*)^{(1)})\kappa_{l,1} \\ &= \sum_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \sum_{1 \le j \le N_1} \langle \kappa_{l,1}, \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(i,j) \rangle \, \alpha_k(u_j) \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(i, k+N_1+N_2),\end{aligned}$$

where $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})$ runs over the whole of $\Lambda(l)$ satisfying

$$\sum_{1 \le p \le N_2} \alpha_p(H)(n_{p,+}(\mathbf{j}) - n_{p,-}(\mathbf{j})) = 0. \tag{4.23}$$

If $1 \le j \le N_1$ and $1 \le k \le N_2$, then $[d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(u_{k+N_1})^*)^{(l)} \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})] \otimes e(i,j)$ and $\widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(i, k+N_1+N_2)$ are orthogonal each other with respect to the inner product on $H(M,\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} l} \otimes H(M,\mathfrak{g})$. Thus, $(\mathrm{id}_l \otimes d\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}(V(u_{k+N_1})^*)^{(1)})\kappa_{l,1} = 0$ and hence

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le N_1} \langle \kappa_{l,1}, \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(i,j) \rangle \, \alpha_k(u_j) = 0 \tag{4.24}$$

for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \le k \le N_2$, and all $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)$ satisfying (4.23).

Since we can select $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{N_1} \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_2\}$ such that a matrix $(\alpha_{k_i}(u_j))_{1 \le i,j \le N_1} \in \mathrm{Mat}(N_1, \mathbf{C})$ is invertible, we obtain

$$\langle \kappa_{l,1}, \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(i,j) \rangle = 0$$

for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$, and $1 \le j \le N_1$ and all $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)$ satisfying (4.23), i.e., $\kappa_{l,1} = 0$.

In the same manner, we also have $\kappa_{1,m} = 0$. ∎

Lemma 4.8. *$\kappa_{l,0} = 0$ and $\kappa_{0,m} = 0$ for all $l, m \ge 1$.*

Proof. We prove them by the induction. We have only to show $\kappa_{l,0} = 0$. The case of $\kappa_{1,0} = 0$ has been proved. Let $\kappa_{l,0} = 0$. Since $\kappa_{l,1} = 0$ and (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= s_{l,0}\Big((l+1) S^{0\ l}_{0\ 0}(\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l+1,0})\Big) \\ &= \sum_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)} \sum_{(i,j) \in \Lambda(1)} \langle \lambda_{0,1}, e(i,j) \rangle \langle \kappa_{l+1,0}, e(i,j) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \rangle \, \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \\ &= \sum_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)} \langle \kappa_{l+1,0}, \lambda_{0,1} \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \rangle \, \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\langle \kappa_{l+1,0}, \lambda_{0,1} \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \rangle = 0 \tag{4.25}$$

for all $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)$. This implies that

$$\langle \kappa_{l+1,0}, d\Psi \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \rangle = 0, \tag{4.26}$$

$$\big\langle \kappa_{l+1,0}, V(\Psi) d\Psi' \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \big\rangle = 0 \tag{4.27}$$

for all $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)$, and Ψ, $\Psi' \in C^\infty(M,\mathfrak{g})$. (4.26) is obvious. We show (4.27).

For each Ψ, $\Psi' \in C^\infty(M,\mathfrak{g})$ and $|s|,|t| \ll 1$, there exists a unique $\Phi_{s,t} \in C^\infty(M,\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$\exp(t\Psi)\exp(s\Psi') = \exp(\Phi_{s,t}).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} d\Phi_{s,t} &= \beta(\exp(\Phi_{s,t})) = \beta(\exp(t\Psi)\exp(s\Psi')) \\ &= V(\exp(t\Psi))\beta(\exp(s\Psi')) + \beta(\exp(t\Psi)) \\ &= sV(\exp(t\Psi))d\Psi' + td\Psi \end{aligned}$$

and (4.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \kappa_{l+1,0}, d\Phi_{s,t} \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})\rangle \\ &= s\left\langle \kappa_{l+1,0}, V(\exp(t\Psi))d\Psi' \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})\right\rangle + t\left\langle \kappa_{l+1,0}, d\Psi \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})\right\rangle \\ &= s\left\langle \kappa_{l+1,0}, V(\exp(t\Psi))d\Psi' \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})\right\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\kappa_{l+1,0}$ satisfies (4.27) by considering the differential of the above equation at $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

By the way, $H(M,\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by

$$\{d\Psi,\ V(\Psi)d\Psi' \,|\, \Psi, \Psi' \in C^\infty(M,\mathfrak{g})\}.$$

(See lemma 3.5 of [2].) Thus the following relation is a direct consequence of (4.26) and (4.27).

$$\langle \kappa_{l+1,0}, e(i,j) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})\rangle = 0$$

for all $(i,j) \in \Lambda(1)$ and $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)$. Therefore we obtain $\kappa_{l+1,0} = 0$.

In the same manner, we can show $\kappa_{0,m} = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$. ∎

Lemma 4.9. *$\kappa_{l,m} = 0$ for all $(l,m) \in \mathbf{Z}^2_{\geq 0} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, that is, we obtain theorem 4.1*

Proof. We prove this statement by the induction. We have already shown the case of $l = 1$, i.e., $\kappa_{1,m} = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$. Let $\kappa_{l,m} = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$. Then we show $\kappa_{l+1,m} = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$. Fix $m \geq 0$. Since $\kappa_{l,m} = 0$ and $\kappa_{l,m+1} = 0$ and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= s_{l,m}\left((l+1)S^{0\ l}_{0\ m}(\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l+1,m})\right) \\ &= (l+1)\sum_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})\in\Lambda(l)}\ \sum_{(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}')\in\Lambda(m)} \left\langle \kappa_{l+1,m}, \lambda_{0,1}\widehat{\otimes}\,\widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}')\right\rangle \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'). \end{aligned}$$

This shows $\left\langle \kappa_{l+1,m}, \lambda_{0,1}\widehat{\otimes}\,\widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}')\right\rangle = 0$ for all $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)$, $(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}') \in \Lambda(m)$. Thus we can show

$$\left\langle \kappa_{l+1,m}, e(i,j)\,\widehat{\otimes}\,\widehat{e}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \otimes \widehat{e}(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}')\right\rangle = 0$$

for all $(i,j) \in \Lambda(1)$, $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \Lambda(l)$, and $(\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}') \in \Lambda(m)$. This implies $\kappa_{l+1,m} = 0$. Since $m \geq 0$ is arbitrary, the proof has been completed. ∎

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Professor Taku Matsui for his many useful comments on this paper. And I am grateful to Professors Un Cig Ji and Nobuaki Obata for discussing the difference between the energy representation of $C^\infty(S^1,G)$ in this paper and the factorial representation of the path group in [2] and [3].

References

[1] S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn, J. Marion, D. Testard and B. Torrésani: *Noncommutative distributions. Unitary representation of gauge groups and algebras.* Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1993.

[2] S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn and D. Testard: *Irreducibility and reducibility for the energy representation of the group of mappings of a Riemannian manifold into a compact semisimple Lie group.* J. Funct. Anal. **41** (1981), no. 3, 378–396.

[3] S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn, D. Testard and A. Veršhik: *Factorial representations of path groups.* J. Funct. Anal. **51** (1983), no. 1, 115–131.

[4] N. Bourbaki: *Lie groups and Lie algebras.* 2nd printing, English translation. Springer-Verlag, 1989

[5] I. Gelfand, M. Graev and A. Veršik: *Representations of the group of smooth mappings of a manifold X into a compact Lie group.* Compositio Math. **35** (1977), no. 3, 299–334.

[6] I. Gelfand, M. Graev and A. Veršik: *Representations of the group of functions taking values in a compact Lie group.* Compositio Math. **42** (1980/81), no. 2, 217–243.

[7] P. Gilkey: *Invariance theory, the heat equation, and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem.* Second edition. CRC Press, 1995.

[8] R. Ismagilov: *Unitary representations of the group $C_0^\infty(X,G)$, $G = SU_2$.* Mat. Sb.**100** (1976), no. 1, 117–131;English transl. in Math. USSR-Sb.**29**(1976), 105–117.

[9] R. Ismagilov: *Representations of infinite-dimensional groups.* Translated from the Russian manuscript by D. Deart. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 152. American Mathematical Society, 1996.

[10] U.C. Ji and N. Obata: *Quantum white noise calculus.* Non-Commutativity, Infinite-Dimensionality and Probability at the Crossroads (N. Obata, T. Matsui and A. Hora, Eds.), pp.143-191, World Scientific, 2002.

[11] H.-H. Kuo: *White noise distribution theory.* CRC Press, 1996.

[12] N. Obata: *White noise calculus and Fock space.* Lecture Notes in Math, 1577. Springer-Verlag, 1994.

[13] G. Salomonsen: *Equivalence of Sobolev spaces.* Results Math. **39** (2001), no. 1-2, 115–130.

[14] H. Samelson: *Notes on Lie algebras.* Second edition. Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[15] A.M.Veršik, I.M.Gelfand, and M.I.Graev : *Representations of the group* SL(2, R)*, where R is a ring of functions.* (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk **28** (1973), no. 5(173), 83–128.

[16] A. Wassermann: *Operator algebras and conformal field theory. III. Fusion of positive energy representations of* LSU(N) *using bounded operators.* Invent. Math. **133** (1998), no. 3, 467–538.